H/A

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/685,592	MATSUMARU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M. Kinkead	2817	

All Participants:

(1) *Arnold M. Kinkead*.

(2) *Mr. J Schmidt*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) *Mr. Vallancius*.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
3

Prior art documents discussed:
6,661,296 and 6229,864

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner and attorney of record discussed possible double patenting issue raised with 6,661,296 as well as reconsideration of claim 3 in light of reference 6,229,864...applicants will consider and get back to examiner ASAP.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)